## Message Text

## CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 MBFR V 00147 241717Z ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 ERDA-05 CIAE-00 H-01 INR-07 IO-13 L-03 NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-04 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 TRSE-00 DODE-00 NSC-05 /089 W

-----250517Z 081585 /13

R 241650Z MAR 77
FM USDEL MBFR VIENNA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2052
SECDEF WASHDC
INFO USMISSION NATO
AMEMBASSY BONN
AMEMBASSY LONDON
USNMR SHAPE
USCINCEUR

CONFIDENTIAL MBFR VIENNA 0147

MBFR NEGOTIATIONS

FROM US REP MBFR

E.O. 11652: GDS
TAGS: PARM, NATO
SUBJECT: MBFR NEGOTIATIONS: MARCH 24 WESTERN PLENARY
STATEMENT

AT THE 131ST PLENARY MEETING OF THE MBFR NEGOTIATIONS HELD ON MARCH 24 UNDER THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF POLISH REP (DABROWA), THE UK REP (BOLLAND) DELIVERED THE ONLY FORMAL STATEMENT. HIS PRESENTATION DEMONSTRATED THAT THE WESTERN APPROACH TO REDUCTIONS AND LIMITATIONS CONFORMED TO THE AGREED GOALS OF THE NEGOTIATIONS IN CONTRAST WITH THE INADEQUACIES IN THIS REGARD OF EASTERN PROPOSALS. THE MAIN POINTS OF THE UK STATEMENT, THE FULL TEXT OF WHICH FOLLOWS BY AIRGRAM, WERE:

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 MBFR V 00147 241717Z

- 1. WESTERN PARTICIPANTS ARE COMMITTED TO EARLY PROGRESS IN THE NEGOTIATIONS IN THE KNOWLEDGE THAT SUCCESS IN VIENNA WOULD CONTRIBUTE TO IMPROVED EAST-WEST RELATIONS GENERALLY.
- 2. THE AGREED GOALS OF THE NEGOTIATIONS ARE TO ENHANCE STABILITY AND STRENGTHEN PEACE AND SECURITY IN EUROPE, WHILE LEAVING UNDIMINISHED THE SECURITY OF ALL PARTICIPANTS.

- 3. MEASURED AGAINST THESE GOALS, EASTERN PROPOSALS ARE DEMONSTRABLY DEFICIENT, NOTABLY BECAUSE THE EASTERN REQUIREMENT FOR EQUAL PERCENTAGE REDUCTIONS WOULD CONTRACT-UALIZE EXISTING FORCE DISPARITIES FAVORING THE EAST AND REINFORCE THE EAST'S GEOGRAPHICAL ADVANTAGES, THEREBY LEADING TO AN EVEN MORE UNSTABLE SITUATION IN THE AREA.
- 4. SUCH REDUCTIONS WOULD NOT DIMINISH THE CRUCIAL DIS-PARITIES FAVORING THE EAST IN GROUND FORCE MANPOWER AND MAIN BATTLE TANKS - DISPARITIES WHICH CANNOT BE ALTERED BY UNILATERAL ASSERTIONS THAT THEY DO NOT EXIST.
- 5. EQUAL PERCENTAGE REDUCTIONS WOULD FURTHER CONTRACTUALIZE THE EAST'S EXISTING NUMERICAL ADVANTAGES IN MOST OTHER MAJOR ARMAMENTS.
- 6. EASTERN PROPOSALS WOULD ALSO IMPOSE NATIONAL CEILINGS WHICH ARE UNACCEPTABLE TO THE WEST AS THEY WOULD INTERFERE WITH NATO'S INTEGRATED DEFENSE AND PREJUDICE THE FUTURE ORGANIZATION OF WESTERN ZUROPEAN DEFENSE.
- 7. THE EASTERN DISBANDMENT PROPOSAL, WHICH IN ITSELF HAS NOT MILITARY SIGNIFICANCE, FAILS TO MEET THE SECURITY REQUIREMENTS OF THE FLANK STATES.
- 8. THE EAST HAS SO FAR NOT EVEN ADDRESSED THE TOPIC OF ASSOCIATED MEASURES DESPITE THE FACT THAT SUCH MEASURES CONSTITUTE A NECESSARY ADJUNCT TO ANY AGREEMENT. CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 MBFR V 00147 241717Z

- 9. AS FOR WESTERN PROPOSALS, THE OUTCOME OF APPROXIMATE PARITY IN GROUND FORCES ENVISAGED IN THEM WOULD CLEARLY RESULT IN STRENGTHENED PEACE AND SECURITY IN EUROPE.
- 10. IN DECEMBER 1975, IN ORDER TO BREAK THE IMPASSE IN THE TALKS, THE WEST OFFERED TO REDUCE AND LIMIT SELECTED US NUCLEAR ELEMENTS AND TO INCLUDE AIR MANPOWER UNDER A COMBINED COLLECTIVE COMMON CEILING.
- 11. THIS MIXED-PACKAGE APPROACH PROVIDES THE BASIS FOR AN AGREEMENT WHICH RELISTICALLY TAKES ACCOUNT OF THE PRINCIPAL CONCERNS OF BOTH SIDES AND PROVIDES A WORKABLE SOLUTION TO THE COMPLEX PROBLEMS OF ARMAMENTS REDUCTIONS.
- 12. THE WESTERN APPROACH SAFEGUARDS JOINT DEFENSE ARRANGEMENTS BY PROVIDING FOR COLLECTIVE CEILINGS.
- 13. FINALLY, WESTERN PROPOSALS PROVIDE FOR FLANK SECURITY

AND ALSO MEET THE NEED FOR ASSOCIATED MEASURES.

14. MEANWHILE, THE EAST HAS MADE NO SUBSTANTIVE CHANGE IN THE PROPOSALS IT HAS ADVOCATED FROM THE OUTSET, THE IMPLEMENTATION OF WHICH WOULD CONTRACTUALIZE EXISTING EASTERN FORCE SUPERIORITIES AND LEAD TO AN OUTCOME WHOLLY UNACCEPTABLE TO THE WEST.

15. DESPITE THE FACT THAT THEY PROVIDE THE FRAMEWORK FOR A MUTUALLY ACCEPTABLE AGREEMENT IN CONFORMITY WITH AGREED GOALS, THE EAST HAS FAILED TO RESPOND TO THE DECEMBER 1975 WESTERN PROPOSALS IN A SERIOUS AND POSITIVE WAY. RESOR

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X

Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: DISARMAMENT, MUTUAL FORCE REDUCTIONS, NEGOTIATIONS, MEETING REPORTS

Control Number: n/a

Copy: SINGLE Sent Date: 24-Mar-1977 12:00:00 am Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am Decaption Note:

Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW

Disposition Date: 22 May 2009 Disposition Event: Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977MBFRV00147
Document Source: CORE

**Document Unique ID: 00** 

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS

Errors: N/A **Expiration:** 

Film Number: D770102-0429

Format: TEL

From: MBFR VIENNA Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770327/aaaaawws.tel

Line Count: 128 Litigation Code IDs: Litigation Codes:

Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Message ID: 0e9df0b2-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Office: ACTION ACDA

Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Reference: n/a

Retention: 0 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Content Flags: Review Date: 22-Nov-2004 12:00:00 am

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a **Review Media Identifier:** Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

**SAS ID:** 3010303 Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: MBFR NEGOTIATIONS: MARCH 24 WESTERN PLENARY STATEMENT AT THE 131ST PLENARY MEETING OF THE MBFR NEGOTIATI

TAGS: PARM, XH, XT, NATO
To: STATE DOD

Type: TE

vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/0e9df0b2-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Review Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009